NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/511,236 09/26/2005 Shengyang Huang F-8417 1828 28107 7590 06/17/2008 **EXAMINER** JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP NG, EUNICE 122 EAST 42ND STREET **SUITE 4000** PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** NEW YORK, NY 10168 ' MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

06/17/2008

PAPER

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

JUN 2 3 700R W

Advisory Action bre the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/511,236	HUANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	0.
Eunice Ng	2626	

	24,1100 (19	2020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaved (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	it, or other evidenœ, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I). `		•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply ong than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi			appeal. Since a
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			·
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		Il be entered and an ex	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefice of the data of floor and		1 1
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach a conversation database including a plurality of reply sentences. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, Hirose teaches storing a dialogue stream. Further, as described in paragraphs 83-84, the dialogue stream comprises a plurality of message documents, which contain data representing the contents of conversations (sentences). Thus, Hirose would fairly teach or suggest a conversation database including a plurality of reply sentences. Paragraph 185, teaches "keyword list generator breaks up sentences...according to a morphemic analysis...and extracts a plurality of words therefrom," which would contain first and second morphemes.

Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach a determination of a type of input including affirmation or negation. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, since Hirose teaches evaluation based on matching (paragraph 177, 192 and 219), which would necessarily require determination of what the input is, including an affirmation or negation.

Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach topic identification information. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, Hirose teaches a subject input box (for example in paragraphs 116 and 119) and retrieval (paragraph 188). Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach ranking according to the frequency of search of a piece of second morpheme information at the topic search unit. However, as indicated in the previous office action, Hirose at paragraphs 177, 189, and 198 teaches generating a keyword list, which includes information representing the frequency of appearance in the message documents contained in the dialogue streams and a related dialogue candidate list, and paragraph 258, as well as paragraph 192-194, teaches their ranking when displayed.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Organization ___

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

C OTHER